arXiv:1703.04859v1 [math.RT] 15 Mar 2017

Fusion rule algebras related to a pair of compact groups

Narufumi Nakagaki and Tatsuya Tsurii

15th March 2017

Abstract

The purpose of the present paper is to investigate a fusion rule algebra arising from irreducible characters of a compact group G and a closed subgroup G_0 of G with finite index. The convolution of this fusion rule algebra is introduced by inducing irreducible representations of G_0 to G and by restricting irreducible representations of G to G_0.

Mathematical Subject Classification: 22D30, 22F50, 20N20, 43A62.
Key Words: Induced representation, character of a representation, hypergroup, fusion rule algebra.

1 Introduction

Since an axiom of a hypergroup was established by C. F. Dunkl ([D1], [D2], 1973), R. I. Jewett ([J], 1975) and R. Spector ([S], 1975), there are many researches about hypergroups. More precisely, refer to [BH]. H. Heyer, S. Kawakami, T. Tsurii and S. Yamanaka introduced a hypergroup $\mathcal{K}(\widehat{G} \cup \widehat{G_0})$ arising from characters of a compact group G and its closed subgroup G_0 with finite index (refer to [HKTY1]).

In the present paper, applying the results of [HKTY1], we consider a fusion rule algebra $\mathcal{F}(\widehat{G} \cup \widehat{G_0})$ arising from characters of a compact group and its closed subgroup with finite index. As in the case of [HKTY1], the method of constructions of fusion rule algebras $\mathcal{F}(\widehat{G} \cup \widehat{G_0})$ rely on the application of a character formula [H], Frobenius' reciprocity theorem [F] for compact groups, and character theory for induced representations of hypergroups [HKY].

In Section 2 the preliminaries, we mention about an axiom of a countable discrete hypergroup and a fusion rule algebra. After the introduction, we consider relationships between hypergroups and fusion rule algebras, and introduce a notion of a fusion rule algebra join.

In Section 3, we introduce a notion of a fusion rule algebra $\mathcal{F}(\widehat{G} \cup \widehat{G_0})$ arising from characters of a compact group G and its closed subgroup G_0 with finite index. In this section, an admissible pair (G, G_0) defined in [HKTY1] plays an important role to construct a fusion rule algebra $\mathcal{F}(\widehat{G} \cup \widehat{G_0})$.

In Section 4, we show some examples of fusion rule algebras $\mathcal{F}(\widehat{G} \cup \widehat{G_0})$. We note that hypergroups $\mathcal{K}(\widehat{G} \cup \widehat{G_0})$ in the sense of [HKTY1] is obtained by normalization of fusion rule algebras $\mathcal{F}(\widehat{G} \cup \widehat{G_0})$.

2 Preliminaries

For a countable discrete set $K = \{c_0, c_1, c_2, \dots\}$, we denote the algebraic complex linear space based on K together with finite support by $\mathbb{C}K$, namely

$$\mathbb{C}K := \left\{ X = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j c_j \ : \ a_j \in \mathbb{C}, \ |\mathrm{supp}(X)| < +\infty \right\},$$

where the support of X is defined by

$$\mathrm{supp}(X) := \{c_k : a_k \neq 0\}.$$

Axiom of a countable discrete hypergroup

A *countable discrete hypergroup* $K = (K, \mathbb{C}K, \circ, *)$ consists of a countable discrete set $K = \{c_0, c_1, c_2, \dots\}$ together with an associative product (called convolution) $\circ$ and an involution $*$ in $\mathbb{C}K$ satisfying the following conditions.

(H1) The space $(\mathbb{C}K, \circ, *)$ is an associative $*$-algebra with unit c_0.

(H2) For $c_i, c_j \in K$, the convolution $c_i \circ c_j$ belongs to $\mathbb{C}K$, the coefficients of $c_i \circ c_j$ are non-negative real numbers and sum of them is one.

(H3) $K^* = K$ i.e. $c_i^* \in K$ for $c_i \in K$. Moreover $c_j = c_i^*$ if and only if $c_0 \in \mathrm{supp}(c_i \circ c_j)$.

A countable discrete hypergroup K is said to be commutative if the convolution $\circ$ is commutative.

By the above axiom (H3),

$$c_i \circ c_i^* = a_0 c_0 + \cdots \quad (a_0 \neq 0).$$

Then the weight $w(c_i)$ of c_i is defined by

$$w(c_i) := \frac{1}{a_0}.$$

Axiom of a fusion rule algebra

A *fusion rule algebra* $F = (F, \mathbb{C}F, \circ, *)$ consists of a countable discrete set $F = \{X_0, X_1, X_2, \dots\}$ together with an associative product (called convolution) $\circ$ and an involution $*$ in $\mathbb{C}F$ satisfying the following conditions.

(F1) The space $(\mathbb{C}F, \circ, *)$ is an associative $*$-algebra with unit X_0.

(F2) For $X_i, X_j \in F$, the convolution $X_i \circ X_j$ belongs to $\mathbb{C}F$ and the coefficients of $X_i \circ X_j$ are non-negative integers.

(F3) $F^* = F$ i.e. $X_i^* \in F$ for $X_i \in F$. Moreover $X_j = X_i^*$ if and only if $X_0 \in \mathrm{supp}(X_i \circ X_j)$ and

$$X_i \circ X_j = X_0 + \sum_{\substack{X_k \in S(X_i, X_j) \\ X_k \neq 0}} a_{ij}^k X_k.$$

where $S(X_i, X_j) := \mathrm{supp}(X_i \circ X_j)$.

A fusion rule algebra F is said to be commutative if the convolution $\circ$ is commutative.

A relationship between hypergroups and fusion rule algebras

Let F be a fusion rule algebra. The mapping d from F to $\mathbb{R}_+^\times = (0, \infty)$ is called a *dimension function* of F if d is a homomorphism in the sense that

$$d(X_i)d(X_j) = \sum_{X_k \in S(X_i, X_j)} a_{ij}^k d(X_k) \quad \text{where} \quad X_i \circ X_j = \sum_{X_k \in S(X_i, X_j)} a_{ij}^k X_k.$$

Then d is uniquely extendable as a linear mapping from $\mathbb{C}F$ to $\mathbb{C}$ and satisfies

$$d(X_i \circ X_j) = d(X_i)d(X_j).$$

For $X_i \in F$, put

$$c_i := \frac{1}{d(X_i)} X_i.$$

Then the set $K = \{c_0, c_1, c_2, \dots\}$ becomes a countable discrete hypergroup. We call this hypergroup K a hypergroup which is normalized F, we write $\mathcal{K}_d(F)$.

Let $F = \{X_0, X_1, \dots, X_n\}$ be a finite fusion rule algebra and $\mathcal{K}_d(F) = \{c_0, c_1, \dots, c_n\}$ a hypergroup which normalized F. The convolution of $c_i \circ c_i^*$ is

$$c_i \circ c_i^* = \frac{1}{d(X_i)d(X_i^*)} X_i \circ X_i^* = \frac{1}{d(X_i)^2} X_0 + \cdots = \frac{1}{d(X_i)^2} c_0 + \cdots.$$

Hence the weight of c_i is

$$w(c_i) = d(X_i)^2.$$

Put

$$R(F) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} d(X_k) X_k.$$

Then the following proposition holds.

Proposition 2.1 For $X_i \in F$,

$$X_i \circ R(F) = d(X_i) R(F).$$

Proof Since $F = \{X_0, X_1, \ldots, X_n\}$ is a fusion rule algebra, there exists a hypergroup $\mathcal{K}_d(F) = \{c_0, c_1, \ldots, c_n\}$ which normalized F, the elements c_i of $\mathcal{K}_d(F)$ are written by

$$c_i = \frac{1}{d(X_i)} X_i.$$

Hence,

$$R(F) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} d(X_k)^2 c_k = \sum_{k=0}^{n} w(c_k) c_k.$$

Therefore, $R(F)$ is the Haar measure of $\mathcal{K}_d(F)$, then

$$X_i \circ R(F) = d(X_i) c_i \circ R(F) = d(X_i) R(F).$$

□

Fusion rule algebra join

Let $H = \{X_0, X_1, \ldots, X_n\}$ be a finite fusion rule algebra and $L = \{Y_0, Y_1\}$ a cyclic group of order two. The convolution and involution of H and L are $\circ_H$, $*_H$ and $\circ_L$, $*_L$ respectively. We assume that for all $X_i \in H$ the values of dimension function $d(X_i)$ are natural number. For the set

$$H \vee_F L = \{X_0, X_1, \ldots, X_n, Y_1\}$$

we define the convolution $\circ_F$ on $\mathbb{C}(H \vee_F L)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} X_i \circ_F X_j &:= X_i \circ_H X_j, \\ X_i \circ_F Y_1 &:= d(X_i) Y_1, \\ Y_1 \circ_F Y_1 &:= R(H). \end{aligned}$$

The involution $*_F$ defined by

$$X_i^{*_F} := X_i^{*_H} \quad \text{and} \quad Y_1^{*_F} := Y_1^{*_L} = Y_1.$$

Proposition 2.2 $H \vee_F L = (H \vee_F L, \mathbb{C}(H \vee_F L), \circ_F, *_F)$ becomes a fusion rule algebra.

Proof By the definition of the convolution $\circ_F$ and the assumption of a fusion rule algebra join, it is sufficient to show $H \vee_F L$ satisfies following associativity relations.

(1) $(X_i \circ_F X_j) \circ_F X_k = X_i \circ_F (X_j \circ_F X_k)$,

(2) $(X_i \circ_F X_j) \circ_F Y_1 = X_i \circ_F (X_j \circ_F Y_1)$,

(3) $(X_i \circ_F Y_1) \circ_F Y_1 = X_i \circ_F (Y_1 \circ_F Y_1)$,

(4) $(Y_1 \circ_F Y_1) \circ_F Y_1 = Y_1 \circ_F (Y_1 \circ_F Y_1)$.

(1) is clear because H is a fusion rule algebra.

(2)

$$(X_i \circ_F X_j) \circ_F Y_1 = \sum_{s=0}^{n} a_{ij}^s X_s \circ_F Y_1 = \sum_{s=0}^{n} a_{ij}^s d(X_s) Y_1.$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} X_i \circ_F (X_j \circ_F Y_1) &= X_i \circ_F d(X_j) Y_1 = d(X_i) d(X_j) Y_1 \\ &= d(X_i \circ_F X_j) Y_1 = d\left(\sum_{s=0}^{n} a_{ij}^s X_s \right) Y_1 = \sum_{s=0}^{n} a_{ij}^s d(X_s) Y_1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the associativity relation (2) holds.

(3)

$$(X_i \circ_F Y_1) \circ_F Y_1 = d(X_i) Y_1 \circ_F Y_1 = d(X_i) R(H).$$

On the other hand,

$$X_i \circ_F (Y_1 \circ_F Y_1) = X_i \circ_F R(H).$$

By Proposition 2.1, the associativity relation (3) holds.

(4) is easy to check by simple calculation. Hence $H \vee_F L$ becomes a fusion rule algebra.

□

We call this algebra $H \vee_F L$ a fusion rule algebra *join* of H by L.

3 Fusion rule algebras related to admissible pairs

Let G be a compact group which satisfies the second axiom of countability and let $\widehat{G}$ be the set of all equivalence classes of irreducible representations of G. Then $\widehat{G}$ is (at most countable) discrete space which we write explicitly as

$$\widehat{G} = \{\pi_0, \pi_1, \dots, \pi_n, \dots\},$$

where π_0 is the trivial representation of G. We denote by $\mathrm{Rep}^{\mathrm{f}}(G)$ the set of equivalence classes of finite-dimensional representations of G. For $\pi \in \mathrm{Rep}^{\mathrm{f}}(G)$ we consider the character of π given by

$$Ch(\pi)(g) = \mathrm{tr}(\pi(g)),$$

for all $g \in G$. Put

$$\mathcal{F}(\widehat{G}) = \{Ch(\pi) : \pi \in \widehat{G}\}.$$

Then $\mathcal{F}(\widehat{G})$ is known to be a commutative fusion rule algebra with unit $Ch(\pi_0) = \pi_0$. Let G_0 be a closed subgroup of G. We write $\widehat{G_0} = \{\tau_0, \tau_1, \dots, \tau_n, \dots\}$, where τ_0 is the trivial representation of G_0.

The followings are well-known facts.

Lemma 3.1 For a compact group G, the followings hold.

(1) $Ch(\pi_i \otimes \pi_j) = Ch(\pi_i)Ch(\pi_j)$ for $\pi_i, \pi_j \in \mathrm{Rep}^{\mathrm{f}}(G)$.

(2) $Ch(\mathrm{res}^G_{G_0}\pi) = \mathrm{res}^G_{G_0} Ch(\pi)$ for $\pi \in \mathrm{Rep}^{\mathrm{f}}(G)$.

Lemma 3.2 For a compact group G and its closed subgroup G_0, the followings hold.

(1) [Character formula] (refer to Hirai [H]) For $\tau \in \mathrm{Rep}^{\mathrm{f}}(G_0)$,

$$Ch(\mathrm{ind}^G_{G_0}\tau)(g) = [G : G_0] \int_G ch(\tau)(sgs^{-1}) 1_{G_0}(sgs^{-1}) \omega_G(ds).$$

(2) [Frobenius' reciprocity theorem] (refer to Folland [F]) For $\tau \in \widehat{G_0}$ and for $\pi \in \widehat{G}$,

$$[\mathrm{ind}^G_{G_0}\tau : \pi] = [\tau : \mathrm{res}^G_{G_0}\pi],$$

where $[\ :\ ]$ denotes the multiplicity of representations.

Lemma 3.3 For $\tau \in \mathrm{Rep}^{\mathrm{f}}(G_0)$,

$$Ch(\mathrm{ind}^G_{G_0}\tau) = [G : G_0]\mathrm{ind}^G_{G_0} Ch(\tau).$$

Proof By the theorem 3.6 in [HKY],

$$ch(\mathrm{ind}^G_{G_0}\tau) = \mathrm{ind}^G_{G_0} ch(\tau)$$

where

$$ch(\tau) := \frac{1}{\dim \tau} Ch(\tau) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{ind}^G_{G_0} ch(\tau) := \int_G ch(\tau)(sgs^{-1}) 1_{G_0}(sgs^{-1}) \omega_G(ds).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} Ch(\mathrm{ind}^G_{G_0}\tau)(g) &= [G : G_0] \int_G Ch(\tau)(sgs^{-1}) 1_{G_0}(sgs^{-1}) \omega_G(ds) \\ &= [G : G_0]\mathrm{ind}^G_{G_0} Ch(\tau). \end{aligned}$$

Definition On the set

$$\mathcal{F}(\widehat{G} \cup \widehat{G_0}) := \{(Ch(\pi), \circ), (Ch(\tau), \bullet) : \pi \in \widehat{G}, \tau \in \widehat{G_0}\}$$

we define a convolution $*$ as follows. For $\pi_i, \pi_j, \pi \in \widehat{G}$ and $\tau_i, \tau_j, \tau \in \widehat{G_0}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&(Ch(\pi_i), \circ) * (Ch(\pi_j), \circ) := (Ch(\pi_i)Ch(\pi_j), \circ),\\
&(Ch(\pi), \circ) * (Ch(\tau), \bullet) := (Ch(\mathrm{res}^G_{G_0}\pi)Ch(\tau), \bullet),\\
&(Ch(\tau), \bullet) * (Ch(\pi), \circ) := (Ch(\tau)Ch(\mathrm{res}^G_{G_0}\pi), \bullet),\\
&(Ch(\tau_i), \bullet) * (Ch(\tau_j), \bullet) := (Ch(\mathrm{ind}^G_{G_0}(\tau_i \otimes \tau_j)), \circ).
\end{aligned}$$

We want to check the associativity relations of the convolution in the following cases. Whenever reference to a particular representation π is not needed, we abbreviate $(Ch(\pi), \circ)$ by $\circ$ and $(Ch(\tau), \bullet)$ by $\bullet$. Hence our task will be to verify the subsequent formulae:

$$\begin{aligned}
&(A1)\ (\circ * \circ) * \circ = \circ * (\circ * \circ),\\
&(A2)\ (\bullet * \circ) * \circ = \bullet * (\circ * \circ),\\
&(A3)\ (\bullet * \bullet) * \circ = \bullet * (\bullet * \circ) \text{ and}\\
&(A4)\ (\bullet * \bullet) * \bullet = \bullet * (\bullet * \bullet).
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.4 The equalities $(A1)$, $(A2)$ and $(A3)$ hold without further assumptions. For $\pi_i, \pi_j, \pi_k, \pi \in \widehat{G}$ and $\tau_i, \tau_j, \tau \in \widehat{G_0}$,

$(A1)\ ((Ch(\pi_i), \circ) * (Ch(\pi_j), \circ)) * (Ch(\pi_k), \circ) = (Ch(\pi_i), \circ) * ((Ch(\pi_j), \circ) * (Ch(\pi_k), \circ)).$
$(A2)\ ((Ch(\tau), \bullet) * (Ch(\pi_i), \circ)) * (Ch(\pi_j), \circ) = (Ch(\tau), \bullet) * ((Ch(\pi_i), \circ) * (Ch(\pi_j), \circ)).$
$(A3)\ ((Ch(\tau_i), \bullet) * (Ch(\tau_j), \bullet)) * (Ch(\pi), \circ) = (Ch(\tau_i), \bullet) * ((Ch(\tau_j), \bullet) * (Ch(\pi), \circ)).$

Proof $(A1)$ is clear because $\mathcal{F}(\widehat{G})$ is a fusion rule algebra.

$(A2)$ For $\tau \in \widehat{G_0}$ and $\pi_i, \pi_j \in \widehat{G}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&((Ch(\tau), \bullet) * (Ch(\pi_i), \circ)) * (Ch(\pi_j), \circ)\\
=&(Ch(\tau)Ch(\mathrm{res}^G_{G_0}\pi_i), \bullet) * (Ch(\pi_j), \circ)\\
=&(Ch(\tau)Ch(\mathrm{res}^G_{G_0}\pi_i)Ch(\mathrm{res}^G_{G_0}\pi_j), \bullet)\\
=&(Ch(\tau)(\mathrm{res}^G_{G_0}Ch(\pi_i))(\mathrm{res}^G_{G_0}Ch(\pi_j)), \bullet).
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
&(Ch(\tau), \bullet) * ((Ch(\pi_i), \circ) * (Ch(\pi_j), \circ))\\
=&(Ch(\tau), \bullet)) * ((Ch(\pi_i)Ch(\pi_j), \circ)\\
=&(Ch(\tau)\mathrm{res}^G_{G_0}(Ch(\pi_i)Ch(\pi_j)), \bullet)
\end{aligned}$$

$$=(Ch(\tau)(\mathrm{res}^G_{G_0}Ch(\pi_i))(\mathrm{res}^G_{G_0}Ch(\pi_j)),\bullet).$$

($A3$) For $\tau_i,\tau_j\in\widehat{G_0}$ and $\pi\in\widehat{G}$,

$$\begin{aligned}&((Ch(\tau_i),\bullet)*(Ch(\tau_j),\bullet))*(Ch(\pi),\circ)\\=&(Ch(\mathrm{ind}^G_{G_0}(\tau_i\otimes\tau_j)),\circ)*(Ch(\pi),\circ)\\=&(Ch(\mathrm{ind}^G_{G_0}(\tau_i\otimes\tau_j))Ch(\pi),\circ).\end{aligned}$$

For every $g\in G$,

$$\begin{aligned}&(Ch(\mathrm{ind}^G_{G_0}(\tau_i\otimes\tau_j))Ch(\pi))(g)\\=&Ch(\mathrm{ind}^G_{G_0}(\tau_i\otimes\tau_j)(g)Ch(\pi)(g)\\=&[G:G_0]\int_G Ch(\tau_i\otimes\tau_j)(sgs^{-1})1_{G_0}(sgs^{-1})\omega_G(ds)Ch(\pi)(g)\\=&[G:G_0]\int_G (Ch(\tau_i)Ch(\tau_j))(sgs^{-1})Ch(\pi)(g)1_{G_0}(sgs^{-1})\omega_G(ds)\\=&[G:G_0]\int_G Ch(\tau_i)(sgs^{-1})Ch(\tau_j)(sgs^{-1})Ch(\pi)(sgs^{-1})1_{G_0}(sgs^{-1})\omega_G(ds).\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}&(Ch(\tau_i),\bullet)*((Ch(\tau_j),\bullet)*(Ch(\pi),\circ))\\=&(Ch(\tau_i),\bullet)*(Ch(\tau_j)Ch(\mathrm{res}^G_{G_0}\pi),\bullet)\\=&(Ch(\mathrm{ind}^G_{G_0}(\tau_i\otimes\tau_j\otimes\mathrm{res}^G_{G_0}\pi)),\circ).\end{aligned}$$

For each $g\in G$,

$$\begin{aligned}&Ch(\mathrm{ind}^G_{G_0}(\tau_i\otimes\tau_j\otimes\mathrm{res}^G_{G_0}\pi))(g)\\=&[G:G_0]\int_G Ch(\tau_i\otimes\tau_j\otimes\mathrm{res}^G_{G_0}\pi)(sgs^{-1})1_{G_0}(sgs^{-1})\omega_G(ds)\\=&[G:G_0]\int_G (Ch(\tau_i)Ch(\tau_j)Ch(\mathrm{res}^G_{G_0}\pi))(sgs^{-1})1_{G_0}(sgs^{-1})\omega_G(ds)\\=&[G:G_0]\int_G Ch(\tau_i)(sgs^{-1})Ch(\tau_j)(sgs^{-1})Ch(\pi)(sgs^{-1})1_{G_0}(sgs^{-1})\omega_G(ds).\end{aligned}$$

□

Definition ([HKTY1]) Let (G,G_0) be a pair of consisting of a compact group G and a closed subgroup G_0 of G. For $g\in G_0$

$$X(g):=\{s\in G: sgs^{-1}\in G_0\}.$$

We call (G,G_0) an *admissible pair* if for any $\tau\in\widehat{G_0}$, any $g\in G_0$ and any $s\in X(g)$,

$$ch(\tau)(sgs^{-1})=ch(\tau)(g)$$

holds.

Remark It is clear that $Ch(\tau)(sgs^{-1}) = Ch(\tau)(g)$ holds, if (G, G_0) is an admissible pair.

Lemma 3.5 If a compact group G together with a subgroup G_0 of G with $[G : G_0] < +\infty$ forms an admissible pair, then the associativity relation $(A4)$ holds.

Proof Assume that (G, G_0) is an admissible pair. For $\tau_i, \tau_j, \tau_k \in \widehat{G_0}$ and $g \in G_0$

$$\begin{aligned}
&((Ch(\tau_i), \bullet) * (Ch(\tau_j), \bullet)) * (Ch(\tau_k), \bullet) \\
=&(Ch(\mathrm{ind}_{G_0}^G(\tau_i \otimes \tau_j)), \circ) * (Ch(\tau_k), \bullet) \\
=&([G : G_0]\mathrm{ind}_{G_0}^G Ch(\tau_i \otimes \tau_j), \circ) * (Ch(\tau_k), \bullet) \\
=&([G : G_0](\mathrm{res}_{G_0}^G(\mathrm{ind}_{G_0}^G(Ch(\tau_i)Ch(\tau_j))))Ch(\tau_k), \bullet).
\end{aligned}$$

For $g \in G_0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\mathrm{ind}_{G_0}^G(Ch(\tau_i)Ch(\tau_j)))(g)Ch(\tau_k))(g) \\
=&\left([G : G_0]\int_G Ch(\tau_i)(sgs^{-1})Ch(\tau_j)(sgs^{-1})1_{G_0}(sgs^{-1})\omega_G(ds)\right)Ch(\tau_k)(g) \\
=&\left([G : G_0]\int_G Ch(\tau_i)(g)Ch(\tau_j)(g)1_{G_0}(sgs^{-1})\omega_G(ds)\right)Ch(\tau_k)(g) \\
=&\left([G : G_0]\int_G 1_{G_0}(sgs^{-1})\omega_G(ds)\right)Ch(\tau_i)(g)Ch(\tau_j)(g)Ch(\tau_k)(g).
\end{aligned}$$

This implies the associativity relation $(A4)$. □

Lemma 3.6 If the associativity relation $(A4)$ holds for a compact group G and a subgroup G_0 of G with $[G : G_0] < +\infty$, then (G, G_0) is an admissible pair.

Proof Assume that the associativity relation $(A4)$ holds. Let τ_0 be the trivial representation of $\widehat{G_0}$. For $\tau \in \widehat{G_0}$ the associativity relation

$$((Ch(\tau_0), \bullet) * (Ch(\tau_0), \bullet)) * (Ch(\tau), \bullet) = (Ch(\tau_0), \bullet) * ((Ch(\tau_0), \bullet) * (Ch(\tau), \bullet))$$

holds.

$$((Ch(\tau_0), \bullet) * (Ch(\tau_0), \bullet)) * (Ch(\tau), \bullet) = (Ch(\mathrm{res}_{G_0}^G(\mathrm{ind}_{G_0}^G\tau_0))Ch(\tau), \bullet)$$

and

$$(Ch(\tau_0), \bullet) * ((Ch(\tau_0), \bullet) * (Ch(\tau), \bullet)) = (Ch(\mathrm{res}_{G_0}^G(\mathrm{ind}_{G_0}^G\tau)), \bullet).$$

Then for $g \in G_0$

$$Ch(\mathrm{ind}_{G_0}^G\tau_0)(g)Ch(\tau)(g) = Ch(\mathrm{ind}_{G_0}^G\tau)(g).$$

Now

$$Ch(\mathrm{ind}_{G_0}^G\tau_0)(g) \geq 1.$$

Indeed by the character formula

$$\begin{aligned} Ch(\mathrm{ind}_{G_0}^G \tau_0)(g) &= [G : G_0] \int_G Ch(\tau_0)(sgs^{-1}) 1_{G_0}(sgs^{-1}) \omega_G(ds) \\ &= [G : G_0] \int_G 1_{G_0}(sgs^{-1}) \omega_G(ds) \\ &= [G : G_0] \omega_G(X(g)). \end{aligned}$$

Since $X(g) \supset G_0$, we see that $\omega_G(X(g)) \geq \omega_G(G_0)$. By the assumption $[G : G_0] < +\infty$, we obtain $\omega_G(G_0) = 1/[G : G_0] > 0$. Therefore

$$[G : G_0]\omega_G(X(g)) \geq [G : G_0]\omega_G(G_0) = 1.$$

Hence

$$Ch(\tau)(g) = (Ch(\mathrm{ind}_{G_0}^G \tau_0)(g))^{-1} Ch(\mathrm{ind}_{G_0}^G \tau)(g).$$

For $s \in X(g)$

$$\begin{aligned} Ch(\tau)(sgs^{-1}) &= (Ch(\mathrm{ind}_{G_0}^G \tau_0)(sgs^{-1}))^{-1} Ch(\mathrm{ind}_{G_0}^G \tau)(sgs^{-1}) \\ &= (Ch(\mathrm{ind}_{G_0}^G \tau_0)(g))^{-1} Ch(\mathrm{ind}_{G_0}^G \tau)(g) \\ &= Ch(\tau)(g). \end{aligned}$$

Then (G, G_0) is an admissible pair. □

Theorem Let G_0 be a closed subgroup of a compact group G such that $[G : G_0] < +\infty$. Then $\mathcal{F}(\widehat{G} \cup \widehat{G_0})$ is a fusion rule algebra if and only if (G, G_0) is an admissible pair.

Proof The associativity relations $(A1)$, $(A2)$ and $(A3)$ are a consequence of Lemma 3.4, and $(A4)$ holds if and only if (G, G_0) is an admissible pair by Lemma 3.5 and Lemma 3.6. It is easy to check the remaining axioms of a fusion rule algebra for $\mathcal{F}(\widehat{G} \cup \widehat{G_0})$. The desired conclusion follows. □

Remark

(1) The above $\mathcal{F}(\widehat{G} \cup \widehat{G_0})$ is a fusion rule algebra such that the sequence:

$$1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(\widehat{G}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(\widehat{G} \cup \widehat{G_0}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2 \longrightarrow 1$$

is exact.

(2) If $G_0 = G$, then $\mathcal{F}(\widehat{G} \cup \widehat{G_0})$ is the fusion rule algebra $\mathcal{F}(\widehat{G}) \times \mathbb{Z}_2$.

(3) If G is a finite group and $G_0 = \{e\}$, then $\mathcal{F}(\widehat{G} \cup \widehat{G_0})$ is the fusion rule algebra join $\mathcal{F}(\widehat{G}) \vee_F \mathbb{Z}_2$.

(4) $\mathcal{K}_d(\mathcal{F}(\widehat{G} \cup \widehat{G_0})) \cong \mathcal{K}(\widehat{G} \cup \widehat{G_0})$, where $\mathcal{K}(\widehat{G} \cup \widehat{G_0})$ is a hypergroup in the sense that of [HKTY1].

The following lemmas (Lemma 3.7, 3.9, 3.11, 3.13, 3.15 and 3.16) are quoted from [HKTY1]. The following corollaries (Corollary 3.8, 3.10, 3.12 and 3.14) are rewrote version of "hypergroup" in [HKTY1] to "fusion rule algebra". The proofs of them are almost same as in the case of [HKTY1], hence we omit the proofs. Regarding proofs of them, refer to [HKTY1].

Lemma 3.7 ([HKTY1]) If G is a compact Abelian group and G_0 a closed subgroup of G with $[G : G_0] < +\infty$. Then (G, G_0) is always an admissible pair.

Corollary 3.8 Let G be a compact Abelian group and G_0 a closed subgroup of G with $[G : G_0] < +\infty$. Then $\mathcal{F}(\widehat{G} \cup \widehat{G_0})$ is a fusion rule algebra.

Lemma 3.9 ([HKTY1]) If for each $\tau \in \widehat{G_0}$ there exists a representation $\tilde{\tau}$ of G such that $\mathrm{res}^G_{G_0}\tilde{\tau} = \tau$, then (G, G_0) is an admissible pair.

Corollary 3.10 Let G be a semi-direct product group $H \rtimes_\alpha G_0$, where H is a finite group and G_0 is a finite group. Then $\mathcal{F}(\widehat{G} \cup \widehat{G_0})$ is a fusion rule algebra.

Lemma 3.11 ([HKTY1]) If for $g \in G_0$ and $s \in X(g)$ there exists $t \in G_0$ such that $tgt^{-1} = sgs^{-1}$, then (G, G_0) is an admissible pair.

Let S_n be the symmetric group of degree n.

Corollary 3.12 $\mathcal{F}(\widehat{S_n} \cup \widehat{S_{n-1}})$ $(n \geq 2)$ is a fusion rule algebra.

Lemma 3.13 ([HKTY1]) Let G_0 and G_1 be closed subgroups of G such that $G_0 \subset G_1 \subset G$. If (G_1, G_0) and (G, G_1) are admissible pairs, then (G, G_0) is an admissible pair.

Corollary 3.14 For natural numbers m and n such that $m > n \geq 1$, $\mathcal{F}(\widehat{S_m} \cup \widehat{S_n})$ is a fusion rule algebra.

Let G_0 be a closed normal subgroup of G. Then the coadjoint action $\widehat{\alpha}$ of G on $\widehat{G_0}$ is defined by

$$\widehat{\alpha}_s(\tau)(g) := \tau(sgs^{-1})$$

for $\tau \in \widehat{G_0}$, $g \in G_0$ and $s \in G$. If $\widehat{\alpha}_s = id$ for all $s \in G$, we say that $\widehat{\alpha}$ is trivial.

Lemma 3.15 ([HKTY1]) Let G_0 be a closed normal subgroup of G. The pair (G, G_0) is an admissible pair if and only if the coadjoint action $\widehat{\alpha}$ is trivial.

Lemma 3.16 ([HKTY1]) Let G_0 be a closed normal commutative subgroup of G. The pair (G, G_0) is an admissible pair if and only if $G \cong G_0 \times (G/G_0)$.

Corollary 3.17 Let G be a semi-direct product group $H \rtimes_\alpha G_0$ where H is a compact Abelian group and G_0 is a finite group. $\mathcal{F}(\widehat{G} \cup \widehat{H})$ is a fusion rule algebra if and only if the action α is trivial, i.e. $G = H \times G_0$.

4 Examples

Associated with a pair (G, G_0) of finite groups such that $G \supset G_0$, we obtain a certain finite graph $D(\widehat{G} \cup \widehat{G_0})$ by Frobenius' reciprocity theorem. The set of vertices is

$$\{(\pi, \circ), (\tau, \bullet) : \pi \in \widehat{G}, \tau \in \widehat{G_0}\}$$

and the edge between $(\pi, \circ)$ and $(\tau, \bullet)$ is given by the multiplicity

$$m_{\pi,\tau} := [\mathrm{ind}_{G_0}^{G}(\tau) : \pi] = [\tau : \mathrm{res}_{G_0}^{G}\pi] \neq 0.$$

We call this graph $D(\widehat{G} \cup \widehat{G_0})$ a Frobenius diagram. Frobenius diagrams $D(\widehat{G} \cup \widehat{G_0})$ sometimes appear as Dynkin diagrams and sometimes as Coxter graphs ([GHJ]). V. S. Sunder and N. J. Wildberger constructed in [SW] fusion rule algebras $\mathcal{F}(D)$ and hypergroups $\mathcal{K}(D)$ associated with certain Dynkin diagrams of type A_n, D_{2n} and so on. We give some examples of fusion rule algebras $\mathcal{F}(\widehat{G} \cup \widehat{G_0})$ which are compatible with Frobenius diagrams $D(\widehat{G} \cup \widehat{G_0})$.

4.1 The case that $G = \mathbb{Z}_2 = \{e, g\}$ $(g^2 = e)$ and $G_0 = \{e\}$.



$\mathcal{F}(\widehat{G} \cup \widehat{G_0}) = \{(Ch(\chi_0), \circ), (Ch(\chi_1), \circ), (Ch(\tau_0), \bullet)\}$. Put $\gamma_0 = (Ch(\chi_0), \circ)$, $\gamma_1 = (Ch(\chi_1), \circ)$ and $\rho_0 = (Ch(\tau_0), \bullet)$. Then the structure equations are

$$\gamma_1\gamma_1 = \gamma_0, \quad \rho_0\rho_0 = \gamma_0 + \gamma_1, \quad \gamma_1\rho_0 = \rho_0.$$

Then, the values of the dimension function of $\mathcal{F}(\widehat{G} \cup \widehat{G_0})$ are

$$d(\gamma_0) = 1, \quad d(\gamma_1) = 1, \quad d(\rho_0) = \sqrt{2}.$$

Put

$$\tilde{\gamma_0} := \frac{1}{d(\gamma_0)}\gamma_0, \quad \tilde{\gamma_1} := \frac{1}{d(\gamma_1)}\gamma_1, \quad \tilde{\rho_0} := \frac{1}{d(\rho_0)}\rho_0.$$

The set $\{\tilde{\gamma_0}, \tilde{\gamma_1}, \tilde{\rho_0}\}$ becomes a hypergroup, and isomorphic to the hypergroup $\mathcal{K}(\widehat{G} \cup \widehat{G_0})$ of [HKTY1, example 4.1]

4.2 The case that $G = \mathbb{Z}_3 = \{e, g, g^2\}$ $(g^3 = e)$ and $G_0 = \{e\}$.



$\mathcal{F}(\widehat{G} \cup \widehat{G_0}) = \{(Ch(\chi_0), \circ), (Ch(\chi_1), \circ), (Ch(\chi_2), \circ), (Ch(\tau_0), \bullet)\}$. Put $\gamma_0 = (Ch(\chi_0), \circ)$, $\gamma_1 = (Ch(\chi_1), \circ)$, $\gamma_2 = (Ch(\chi_2), \circ)$ and $\rho_0 = (Ch(\tau_0), \bullet)$. Then the structure equations are

$$\gamma_1\gamma_1 = \gamma_2, \quad \gamma_2\gamma_2 = \gamma_1, \quad \gamma_1\gamma_2 = \gamma_0,$$
$$\rho_0\rho_0 = \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 + \gamma_2, \quad \gamma_1\rho_0 = \rho_0, \quad \gamma_2\rho_0 = \rho_0.$$

As in the case of example 4.1, the values of the dimension function of $\mathcal{F}(\widehat{G} \cup \widehat{G_0})$ are

$$d(\gamma_0) = 1, \quad d(\gamma_1) = 1, \quad d(\gamma_2) = 1, \quad d(\rho_0) = \sqrt{3}.$$

Put

$$\tilde{\gamma_i} := \frac{1}{d(\gamma_i)}\gamma_i \quad (i = 0, 1, 2), \quad \tilde{\rho_0} := \frac{1}{d(\rho_0)}\rho_0.$$

The set $\{\tilde{\gamma_0}, \tilde{\gamma_1}, \tilde{\gamma_2}, \tilde{\rho_0}\}$ becomes a hypergroup, and isomorphic to the hypergroup $\mathcal{K}(\widehat{G} \cup \widehat{G_0})$ of [HKTY1, example 4.2].

4.3 The case that G is the symmetric group $S_3 = \mathbb{Z}_3 \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}_2$ of degree 3 and $G_0 = \mathbb{Z}_2$.



$\mathcal{F}(\widehat{G} \cup \widehat{G_0}) = \{(Ch(\pi_i), \circ), (Ch(\tau_j), \bullet) : \pi_i \in \widehat{G}, \tau_j \in \widehat{G_0}\}$. Put $\gamma_i = (Ch(\pi_i), \circ)$ and $\rho_j = (Ch(\tau_j), \bullet)$. Then the structure equations are

$$\gamma_1\gamma_1 = \gamma_0, \quad \gamma_2\gamma_2 = \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 + \gamma_2, \quad \gamma_1\gamma_2 = \gamma_2, \quad \rho_0\rho_0 = \rho_1\rho_1 = \gamma_0 + \gamma_2,$$
$$\rho_0\rho_1 = \rho_1\rho_0 = \gamma_1 + \gamma_2, \quad \gamma_0\rho_0 = \rho_0, \quad \gamma_1\rho_0 = \rho_1, \quad \gamma_2\rho_0 = \rho_0 + \rho_1,$$
$$\gamma_0\rho_1 = \rho_1, \quad \gamma_1\rho_1 = \rho_0, \quad \gamma_2\rho_1 = \rho_0 + \rho_1.$$

Remark $\mathcal{F}(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}_2} \cup \widehat{\{e\}}) = \mathcal{F}(A_3)$, $\mathcal{F}(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}_3} \cup \widehat{\{e\}}) = \mathcal{F}(D_4)$ and $\mathcal{F}(\widehat{S_3} \cup \widehat{\mathbb{Z}_2}) = \mathcal{F}(A_5)$ where $\mathcal{F}(A_3)$, $\mathcal{F}(D_4)$ and $\mathcal{F}(A_5)$ are Sunder-Wildberger's fusion rule algebra ([SW]) associated with Dynkin diagrams of type A_3, D_4 and A_5 respectively.

As in the case of example 4.1, $\mathcal{F}(\widehat{G} \cup \widehat{G_0})$ are

$$d(\gamma_0) = 1, \quad d(\gamma_1) = 1, \quad d(\gamma_2) = 2, \quad d(\rho_0) = \sqrt{3}, \quad d(\rho_1) = \sqrt{3}.$$

Put

$$\tilde{\gamma_i} := \frac{1}{d(\gamma_i)}\gamma_i \quad (i = 0, 1, 2), \quad \tilde{\rho_j} := \frac{1}{d(\rho_j)}\rho_j \quad (j = 0, 1).$$

The set $\{\tilde{\gamma_0}, \tilde{\gamma_1}, \tilde{\gamma_2}, \tilde{\rho_0}, \tilde{\rho_1}\}$ becomes a hypergroup, and isomorphic to the hypergroup of [HKTY1, example 4.3].

4.4 The case that $G = \mathbb{Z}_4 = \{e, g, g^2, g^3\}$ $(g^4 = e)$ and $G_0 = \mathbb{Z}_2$.



$\mathcal{F}(\widehat{G} \cup \widehat{G_0}) = \{(Ch(\chi_i), \circ), (Ch(\tau_j), \bullet) : \chi_i \in \widehat{G}, \tau_j \in \widehat{G_0}\}$. Put $\gamma_i = (Ch(\chi_i), \circ)$ and $\rho_j = (Ch(\tau_j), \bullet)$. Then the structure equations are

$$\gamma_1\gamma_1 = \gamma_2, \quad \gamma_2\gamma_2 = \gamma_0, \quad \gamma_3\gamma_3 = \gamma_1, \quad \gamma_1\gamma_2 = \gamma_3, \quad \gamma_1\gamma_3 = \gamma_0, \quad \gamma_2\gamma_3 = \gamma_1,$$
$$\rho_0\rho_0 = \rho_1\rho_1 = \gamma_0 + \gamma_2, \quad \rho_0\rho_1 = \rho_1\rho_0 = \gamma_1 + \gamma_3, \quad \gamma_0\rho_0 = \rho_0, \quad \gamma_1\rho_0 = \rho_1,$$
$$\gamma_2\rho_0 = \rho_0, \quad \gamma_3\rho_0 = \rho_1, \quad \gamma_0\rho_1 = \rho_1, \quad \gamma_1\rho_1 = \rho_0, \quad \gamma_2\rho_1 = \rho_1, \quad \gamma_3\rho_1 = \rho_0.$$

As in the case that example 4.1, we obtain a hypergroup isomorphic to the hypergroup of [HKTY1, example 4.4]. We write that only dimension function of $\mathcal{F}(\widehat{G} \cup \widehat{G_0})$.

$$d(\gamma_0) = d(\gamma_1) = d(\gamma_2) = d(\gamma_3) = 1, \quad d(\rho_0) = d(\rho_1) = \sqrt{2}.$$

4.5 The case that $G = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 = \{(e, e), (e, g), (g, e), (g, g)\}$ $(g^2 = e)$ and $G_0 = \mathbb{Z}_2$.



$\mathcal{F}(\widehat{G} \cup \widehat{G_0}) = \{(Ch(\chi_i), \circ), (Ch(\tau_j), \bullet) : \chi_i \in \widehat{G}, \tau_j \in \widehat{G_0}\}$. Put $\gamma_i = (Ch(\chi_i), \circ)$ and $\rho_j = (Ch(\tau_j), \bullet)$. Then the structure equations are

$$\gamma_1\gamma_1 = \gamma_0, \quad \gamma_2\gamma_2 = \gamma_0, \quad \gamma_3\gamma_3 = \gamma_0, \quad \gamma_1\gamma_2 = \gamma_3, \quad \gamma_1\gamma_3 = \gamma_2, \quad \gamma_2\gamma_3 = \gamma_1,$$
$$\rho_0\rho_0 = \rho_1\rho_1 = \gamma_0 + \gamma_2, \quad \rho_0\rho_1 = \rho_1\rho_0 = \gamma_1 + \gamma_3, \quad \gamma_0\rho_0 = \rho_0, \quad \gamma_1\rho_0 = \rho_1,$$
$$\gamma_2\rho_0 = \rho_0, \quad \gamma_3\rho_0 = \rho_1, \quad \gamma_0\rho_1 = \rho_1, \quad \gamma_1\rho_1 = \rho_0, \quad \gamma_2\rho_1 = \rho_1, \quad \gamma_3\rho_1 = \rho_0.$$

Remark We note that Frobenius diagrams of 4.4 and 4.5 are same but their fusion rule algebra structures are different.

As in the case that example 4.1, we obtain a hypergroup isomorphic to the hypergroup of [HKTY1, example 4.5]. We write that only dimension function of $\mathcal{F}(\widehat{G} \cup \widehat{G_0})$.

$$d(\gamma_0) = d(\gamma_1) = d(\gamma_2) = d(\gamma_3) = 1, \quad d(\rho_0) = d(\rho_1) = \sqrt{2}.$$

4.6 The case that $G = S_3 = \mathbb{Z}_3 \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}_2$ and $G_0 = \mathbb{Z}_3$.



$\mathcal{F}(\widehat{G} \cup \widehat{G_0})$ is not a fusion rule algebra by Corollary 3.17.

4.7 The case that G is the dihedral group $D_4 = \mathbb{Z}_4 \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}_2$ and $G_0 = \mathbb{Z}_2$.



$\mathcal{F}(\widehat{G} \cup \widehat{G_0}) = \{(Ch(\pi_i), \circ), (Ch(\tau_j), \bullet) : \pi_i \in \widehat{G}, \tau_j \in \widehat{G_0}\}$. Put $\gamma_i = (Ch(\pi_i), \circ)$ and $\rho_j = (Ch(\tau_j), \bullet)$. Then the structure equations are

$$\gamma_1\gamma_1 = \gamma_0, \quad \gamma_2\gamma_2 = \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 + \gamma_3 + \gamma_4, \quad \gamma_3\gamma_3 = \gamma_0, \quad \gamma_4\gamma_4 = \gamma_0,$$
$$\gamma_1\gamma_2 = \gamma_2, \quad \gamma_1\gamma_3 = \gamma_4, \quad \gamma_1\gamma_4 = \gamma_3, \quad \gamma_2\gamma_3 = \gamma_2, \quad \gamma_2\gamma_4 = \gamma_2, \quad \gamma_3\gamma_4 = \gamma_1,$$
$$\rho_0\rho_0 = \rho_1\rho_1 = \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 + \gamma_2, \quad \rho_0\rho_1 = \gamma_2 + \gamma_3 + \gamma_4,$$
$$\gamma_1\rho_0 = \rho_0, \quad \gamma_2\rho_0 = \rho_0 + \rho_1, \gamma_3\rho_0 = \rho_1, \quad \gamma_4\rho_0 = \rho_1,$$
$$\gamma_1\rho_1 = \rho_1, \quad \gamma_2\rho_1 = \rho_0 + \rho_1, \quad \gamma_3\rho_1 = \rho_0, \quad \gamma_4\rho_1 = \rho_0.$$

As in the case that example 4.1, we obtain a hypergroup isomorphic to the hypergroup of [HKTY1, example 4.7]. We write that only dimension function of $\mathcal{F}(\widehat{G} \cup \widehat{G_0})$.

$$d(\gamma_0) = d(\gamma_1) = d(\gamma_2) = d(\gamma_3) = 1, \quad d(\gamma_4) = d(\rho_0) = d(\rho_1) = 2.$$

4.8 The case that G is the alternating group $A_4 = (\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}_3$ of degree 4 and $G_0 = \mathbb{Z}_3$.



$\mathcal{F}(\widehat{G} \cup \widehat{G_0}) = \{(Ch(\pi_i), \circ), (Ch(\tau_j), \bullet) : \pi_i \in \widehat{G}, \tau_j \in \widehat{G_0}\}$. Put $\gamma_i = (Ch(\pi_i), \circ)$ and $\rho_j = (Ch(\tau_j), \bullet)$. Then the structure equations are

$$\gamma_1\gamma_1 = \gamma_2, \quad \gamma_2\gamma_2 = \gamma_1, \quad \gamma_3\gamma_3 = \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + 2\gamma_3, \quad \gamma_1\gamma_2 = \gamma_0, \quad \gamma_1\gamma_3 = \gamma_3,$$
$$\gamma_2\gamma_3 = \gamma_3, \quad \rho_0\rho_0 = \rho_1\rho_2 = \gamma_0 + \gamma_3, \quad \rho_0\rho_1 = \gamma_1 + \gamma_3, \quad \rho_0\rho_2 = \gamma_2 + \gamma_3,$$
$$\gamma_1\rho_0 = \rho_1, \quad \gamma_2\rho_0 = \rho_2, \quad \gamma_1\rho_1 = \rho_2, \quad \gamma_2\rho_1 = \rho_0,$$
$$\gamma_3\rho_0 = \gamma_3\rho_1 = \gamma_3\rho_2 = \rho_0 + \rho_1 + \rho_2.$$

As in the case that example 4.1, we obtain a hypergroup isomorphic to the hypergroup of [HKTY1, example 4.8]. We write that only dimension function of $\mathcal{F}(\widehat{G} \cup \widehat{G_0})$.

$$d(\gamma_0) = d(\gamma_1) = d(\gamma_2) = 1, \quad d(\gamma_3) = 3, \quad d(\rho_0) = d(\rho_1) = d(\rho_2) = 2.$$

4.9 The case that G is the symmetric group $S_4 = A_4 \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}_2$ of degree 4 and $G_0 = \mathbb{Z}_2$.



$\mathcal{F}(\widehat{G}\cup\widehat{G_0}) = \{(Ch(\pi_i),\circ),(Ch(\tau_j),\bullet) : \pi_i \in \widehat{G}, \tau_j \in \widehat{G_0}\}$. Put $\gamma_i = (Ch(\pi_i),\circ)$ and $\rho_j = (Ch(\tau_j),\bullet)$. Then the structure equations are

$$\begin{aligned}
&\gamma_1\gamma_1 = \gamma_0, \quad \gamma_2\gamma_2 = \gamma_0+\gamma_1+\gamma_2, \quad \gamma_3\gamma_3 = \gamma_4\gamma_4 = \gamma_0+\gamma_2+\gamma_3+\gamma_4,\\
&\gamma_1\gamma_2 = \gamma_2, \quad \gamma_1\gamma_3 = \gamma_4, \quad \gamma_1\gamma_4 = \gamma_3, \quad \gamma_2\gamma_3 = \gamma_2\gamma_4 = \gamma_3+\gamma_4,\\
&\gamma_3\gamma_4 = \gamma_1+\gamma_2+\gamma_3+\gamma_4, \quad \rho_0\rho_0 = \rho_1\rho_1 = \gamma_0+\gamma_2+\gamma_3+\gamma_4,\\
&\rho_0\rho_1 = \rho_1\rho_0 = \gamma_1+\gamma_2+\gamma_3+\gamma_4, \quad \gamma_0\rho_0 = \rho_0, \quad \gamma_1\rho_0 = \rho_1,\\
&\gamma_2\rho_0 = \rho_0+\rho_1, \quad \gamma_3\rho_0 = \rho_0+\rho_1, \quad \gamma_4\rho_0 = \rho_0+\rho_1, \quad \gamma_0\rho_1 = \rho_1,\\
&\gamma_1\rho_1 = \rho_0, \quad \gamma_2\rho_1 = \rho_0+\rho_1, \quad \gamma_3\rho_1 = \rho_0+\rho_1, \quad \gamma_4\rho_1 = \rho_0+\rho_1.
\end{aligned}$$

As in the case that example 4.1, we obtain a hypergroup isomorphic to the hypergroup of [HKTY1, example 4.9]. We write that only dimension function of $\mathcal{F}(\widehat{G}\cup\widehat{G_0})$.

$$d(\gamma_0) = d(\gamma_1) = 1, \quad d(\gamma_2) = 2, \quad d(\gamma_3) = d(\gamma_4) = 3, \quad d(\rho_0) = d(\rho_1) = 2\sqrt{3}.$$

4.10 The case that G is the symmetric group S_4 of degree 4 and G_0 is the symmetric group S_3 of degree 3.



$\mathcal{F}(\widehat{G}\cup\widehat{G_0}) = \{(Ch(\pi_i),\circ),(Ch(\tau_j),\bullet) : \pi_i \in \widehat{G}, \tau_j \in \widehat{G_0}\}$. Put $\gamma_i = (Ch(\pi_i),\circ)$ and $\rho_j = (Ch(\tau_j),\bullet)$. Then the structure equations are

$$\begin{aligned}
&\gamma_1\gamma_1 = \gamma_0, \quad \gamma_2\gamma_2 = \gamma_0+\gamma_1+\gamma_2, \quad \gamma_3\gamma_3 = \gamma_4\gamma_4 = \gamma_0+\gamma_2+\gamma_3+\gamma_4,\\
&\gamma_1\gamma_2 = \gamma_2, \quad \gamma_1\gamma_3 = \gamma_4, \quad \gamma_1\gamma_4 = \gamma_3, \quad \gamma_2\gamma_3 = \gamma_2\gamma_4 = \gamma_3+\gamma_4,\\
&\gamma_3\gamma_4 = \gamma_1+\gamma_2+\gamma_3+\gamma_4, \quad \rho_0\rho_0 = \rho_1\rho_1 = \gamma_0+\gamma_3,\\
&\rho_2\rho_2 = \gamma_0+\gamma_1+\gamma_2+\gamma_3+\gamma_4, \quad \rho_1\rho_2 = \gamma_2+\gamma_3+\gamma_4,\\
&\gamma_0\rho_0 = \rho_0, \quad \gamma_1\rho_0 = \rho_1, \quad \gamma_2\rho_0 = \rho_2, \quad \gamma_3\rho_0 = \rho_0+\rho_2, \quad \gamma_4\rho_0 = \rho_1+\rho_2,\\
&\gamma_0\rho_1 = \rho_1, \quad \gamma_1\rho_1 = \rho_0, \quad \gamma_2\rho_1 = \rho_2, \quad \gamma_3\rho_1 = \rho_1+\rho_2, \quad \gamma_4\rho_1 = \rho_0+\rho_2,\\
&\gamma_0\rho_2 = \rho_2, \quad \gamma_1\rho_2 = \rho_2, \quad \gamma_2\rho_2 = \rho_0+\rho_1+\rho_2,\\
&\gamma_3\rho_2 = \gamma_4\rho_0 = \rho_0+\rho_1+\rho_2.
\end{aligned}$$

As in the case that example 4.1, we obtain a hypergroup isomorphic to the hypergroup of [HKTY1, example 4.10]. We write that only dimension function of $\mathcal{F}(\widehat{G}\cup\widehat{G_0})$.

$$d(\gamma_0) = d(\gamma_1) = 1, \quad d(\gamma_2) = 2, \quad d(\gamma_3) = d(\gamma_4) = 3,$$

$$d(\rho_0) = d(\rho_1) = 2, \quad d(\rho_2) = 4.$$

Acknowledgment The authors would like to thank Satoshi Kawakami who gave us many valuable comments and warm encouragement.

References

[BH] W. Bloom and H. Heyer : *Harmonic Analysis of Probability Measures on Hypergroups*, Walter de Gruyter, de Gruyter Studies in Mathematics 20 (1995).

[D1] Charles F. Dunkl : *The measure algebra of a locally compact hypergroup*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. Vol. 179 (1973), 331–341.

[D2] Charles F. Dunkl : *Structure hypergroups for measure algebras*, Pacific J. Math. Vol. 47 (1973), 413–425.

[F] G. B. Folland : *A Course in Abstract Harmonic Analysis*, Textbooks in Mathematics (1994).

[GHJ] F. M. Goodman, P. de la Harpe and V. F. R. Jones : *Coxeter Graphs and Towers of Algebras*, Springer-Verlag (1989).

[H] T. Hirai : *Classical method of constructing a complete set of irreducible representations of semidirect product of a compact group with a finite group*, Prob. Math. Statistic Vol. 33, Fasc. 2 (2013), 353–362.

[HKKK] H. Heyer, Y. Katayama, S. Kawakami and K. Kawasaki : *Extensions of finite commutative hypergroups*, Sci. Math. Jpn., e-2007, 127-139.

[HK1] H. Heyer and S. Kawakami : *A cohomology approach to the extension problem for commutative hypergroups*, Semigroup Forum Vol. 83 (2011), 371-394.

[HK2] H. Heyer and S. Kawakami : *An imprimitivity theorem for representations of a semi-direct product hypergroup*, Journal of Lie Theory Vol. 24 (2014), 159–178.

[HK3] H. Heyer and S. Kawakami : *Hypergroup structures arising from certain dual objects of a hypergroup*, to appear in Journal of the Math. Soc. of Japan.

[HKTY1] H. Heyer, S. Kawakami, T. Tsurii and S. Yamanaka : *Hypergroups arising from characters of a compact group and its subgroup*, to appear in Sci. Math. Jpn.

[HKTY2] H. Heyer, S. Kawakami, T. Tsurii and S. Yamanaka : *Hypergroups related to a pair of compact hypergroups*, SIGMA Symmetry Integrability and Geom. Methods Appl., Vol. 12 (2016), 111, 17pages published online.

[HKTY3] H. Heyer, S. Kawakami, T. Tsurii and S. Yamanaka : *A commutative hypergroup associated with a hyperfield*, to appear in Infin. Dimens. Anal. Quantum Probab. Relat. Top.

[HKY] H. Heyer, S. Kawakami and S. Yamanaka : *Characters of induced representations of a compact hypergroup*, Monatsh. Math., Vol. 179 (2016), No.3, 421–440.

[J] R. Jewett : *Spaces with an abstract convolution of measures*, Adv. Math., 18 (1975), 1–101.

[KTY] S. Kawakami, T. Tsurii and S. Yamanaka : *Deformations of finite hypergroups*, Sci. Math. Jpn., Vol. 79 (2016), No.2, 113–123.

[S] R. Spector : *Apercu de la theorie des hypergoupes*, Analyse harmonique sur les groups de Lie (Sem. Nancy-Strasbourg, 1973–1975), 643–673. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 497, Springer, Berlin, 1975.

[SW] V. S. Sunder and N. J. Wildberger : *Fusion rule algebras and walks on graphs*, The Proceedings of the Fifth Ramanujan Symposium on Harmonic Analysis, Ed. K. Parthasarathy, Publ. of the Ramanujan Inst., No.6, (1999), 53–80.

Addresses

Narufumi Nakagaki : Nara University of Education
Graduate School of Education
Takabatake-cho
Nara, 630-8528
Japan

Tatsuya Tsurii : Osaka Prefecture University
1-1 Gakuen-cho, Nakaku, Sakai
Osaka, 599-8531
Japan

e-mail : tatsuya_t_99@yahoo.co.jp